Application Number	10/727,329	Re	pplicant(s)/Patent under eexamination ASAHARA ET AL.					
Document Code - DISQ		Internal Document – DO NOT MAIL						
TERMINAL DISCLAIMER	⊠ APPROVED		☐ DISAPPROVED					
Date Filed : JULY 5, 2006	This patent is subject to a Terminal Disclaimer							
Approved/Disapproved by:								
Henry D. Jefferson								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

T.D. INFORMAL MEMO: DO NOT MAIL THIS MEMO TO APPLICANT

To Examiner: CHANG, KENT WU	Date:			11-Jul-06	APPL. S. N:	10727329					
SUBJECT: Decision on Terminal Disclaimer(T.D.) filed: INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please use the appropriate form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. IT MUST NOT BE (1) MAILED TO APPLICANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complet please initial, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU. The T.D. Is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D. ose not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a termin portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has falled to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the real and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 ZFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the real and frame number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting	To Exam	iner:		CHANG, KENT WU	Art Unit	2629					
INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please use the appropriate form paragraphs identified by this informal meme in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. IT MUST NOT BE (1) MAILED TO APPLICANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complet please initial, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU. The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 at 1.2.6	From			f		JEF-2D68					
form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL MRMO ONLY. IT MUST NOT BE (1) MAILED TO APPLICANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complet please initial, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU. The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminiportion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or th	SUBJEC	T: Decision	n on Term	ninal Disclaimer(T.D.) filed:							
The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminic portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).	form par or have	agraphs i any quest	dentified bions, pleas	by this informal memo in your next se see me or the Special Program	t Office action to notify applicant of Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL,	the T.D. If you disagree INTERNAL MEMO ONLY.					
The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D fee of	please in	itial, date	and retur	n this memo to me. THANK YOU.							
The TD fee of has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminiportion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.	E	The T.D.	D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23).								
use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminiportion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.		The T.D.	Γ.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24):								
his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a termina portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). Other: Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.		The state of the s									
double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminiportion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.		Б	The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature)								
portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.											
is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.			The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a termina portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02).								
has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.		The person who signed the T.D.:									
is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.				is not an attorney "of record" (see	e 14.29 and 14.29.01).						
No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.				has failed to state his/her capacity	to sign for the business entity (se	e 14.28).					
nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.				is not recognized as an officer of t	he assignee (see 14.29 & possible	14.29.02).					
The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and								
patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.	The T.D. is no			is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.0	ot signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03).						
disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.											
Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.											
Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			The perio	d disclaimed is incorrect or not sp	ecified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.	26.03).					
and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			Other:			E					
					NOTE: If already authorized, credit	refund to deposit account					
Ex.Initials: Log Date:	I have a	ppropriate	ely notified	d applicant(s) of the status of the 1	Terminal Disclaimer filed in this cas	e.					
	Ex.Initia	ls:		Date:		Log Date:					

ับปริ. 5. 2006 7:15PM

NO. 9758 P. 6

RECEIVED GENTRAL FAX CENTER JUL U 5 2006

I23576.A14

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Mitsuhiro KASAHARA et al.

Group Art Unit: 2629

Appl. No. : 10/727,339

Examiner: Kent Wu Chang

Filed

: December 4, 2003

Confirmation No.: 5953

For

: DISPLAY DEVICE AND LUMINANCE CONTROL

METHOD THEREFOR

TERMINAL DISCLAIMER

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (571)273-8300 on July 5, 2006.

Steven Wegman

Reg. No. 31,438

8 pages (including Cover Sheet)

Signature
Steve Wegman, Reg. No. 31,438

Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Window, Mail Stop AF
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

Your petitioner, Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd., a corporation of Japan, whose business address is 1006, Oaza Kadoma, Kadoma-shi, Osaka 571-8501 Japan, represents that it is the owner of record of the entire right, title and interest of U.S. Patent Application Nos. 10/727,331; 10/727,326; 10/727,330; and the above-captioned '329 application by virtue of an assignment recorded in

1

97/86/2886 TL0111 8888885

88888857 198889 18727329

01 FC:1814

139.68 DA

I23576.A14

the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on July 31, 2001 at Reel 012029, Frame 0361 in prior U.S. Application No. 09/856,161 for "Display Device and Luminance Control Method Therefor", which issued as U.S. Patent 6,414,660, entitled "Display Device and Method of Controlling its Brightness".

The undersigned is an attorney or agent of record authorized to act on behalf of the assignee in the filing of this terminal disclaimer.

Your petitioner, Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd., hereby disclaims, except as provided below, the terminal part of any patent granted on the above-identified '329 application which would extend beyond the expiration date of a U.S. Patent granted on U.S. Application Nos. 10/727,331; 10/727,326; or 10/727,330, and hereby agrees that any patent so granted on the above-identified '329 application shall be enforceable only for and during such period that the legal title to said patent shall be the same as the legal title to the United States Patents that issue from U.S. Application Nos. 10/727,331; 10/727,326; and/or 10/727,330, this agreement to run with any patent granted on the above-identified '329 application and to be binding upon the grantee, its successors or assigns.

Petitioner does not disclaim any terminal part of any patent granted on the above identified '329 application prior to the expiration date of the full statutory term as defined in 35 U.S.C.§154 to §156 and §173 as presently shortened by

any terminal disclaimer of the U.S. Patent that issues based upon U.S. Application Nos. 10/727,331; 10/727,326 and/or 10/727,330 in the event that either of them later: expire for fallure to pay a maintenance fee, is held unenforceable, is found invalid, is statutorily disclaimed in whole or terminally disclaimed under 37 C.F.R. §1.321(a), has all claims canceled by a reexamination certificate, is reissued, or is otherwise terminated prior to the expiration of its full statutory term as presently shortened by any terminal disclaimer, except for the failure of common ownership stated above.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true, and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further, that these statements are made with the knowledge that willful false statements, and the like so made, are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both under Section 1001, Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Respectfully submitted,
Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.

Steven Wegman Reg. No. 31,438

Steven Wegman Reg. No. 31,438

July 5, 2006